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1.0 Narrative Description: Introduction and Purpose

The Council on Library and Information Resources (CLIR) in cooperation with the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) convened a group of leading scholars for a one-day workshop on September 15, 2008. The workshop had two goals:

i. promote digital humanities by identifying a series of long-term research challenges at the intersection of the humanities, social sciences, and computation; and

ii. document the findings of the workshop in parallel print and electronic publications to support subsequent discussion from the concerned communities.

Organizing this workshop responds to recommendations set forth by the American Council of Learned Societies (ACLS) Commission on Cyberinfrastructure for the Humanities and Social Sciences that call upon concerned organizations to exhibit leadership and advance digital scholarship, and is consistent with goals articulated by NEH and other public agencies. This effort has been funded through two cooperative agreements. The first (and the subject of this report) was directed toward organizing and convening the symposium; the second cooperative agreement (HC-50004-08) supported a publication that consists of the proceedings of the day, white papers that were commissioned to support the event, and an interpretive essay that contextualized the results of the meeting together with recommendations for future programs. The resulting publication broadly reflects many of the current issues in digital humanities scholarship.

2.0 Project Activities

This project comprised the following activities:

- Convening a steering committee
- Identifying authors of white papers that would provide context for the meeting and then commissioning papers from these individuals
- Identifying and inviting participants
- Building a Web site
- Developing an agenda for the one-day symposium
- Organizing the logistics for the symposium
- Running the meeting

These activities are described in the following sections. As previously noted, a publication has resulted from this meeting and is separately reported.

2.1 Steering Committee

The purpose of organizing the steering committee was to ensure that the content of the symposium would reach the appropriate audiences and that those invited to participate would reflect an appropriate balance among computer scientists, humanities researchers, researchers with a deep interest in digital humanities, librarians, archivists, and information scientists as well as attention to women and under-represented groups. The composition of the committee had been proposed in the original request to NEH and with one exception those individuals did agree to participate. Participants included representatives from the Institute of Museum and Library
Services (IMLS), NEH, the National Science Foundation (NSF), the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, and the Coalition for Networked Information. A representative from the ACLS was invited but did not participate. Monthly meetings were held by telephone from February through June 2008 and supplemented by more frequent e-mail communications to update members of the committee on progress concerning specific tasks. Notes were circulated after each telephone conversation to document the results and decisions and to maintain momentum in the planning process.

The steering committee participated directly in identifying authors of white papers that would provide context for the meeting, identifying the participants, and developing the agenda. The members provided review and comment for the Web site. CLIR undertook all logistics associated with inviting participants, commissioning the papers, and building the Web site, which included an online forum for discussion among the invitees.

### 2.2 White Papers and Web Site

Six white papers were commissioned, posted to the Web site in advance of the meeting, and edited for inclusion in the publication resulting from this symposium. They included:

- Tools for Thinking: ePhilology and Cyberinfrastructure, by Gregory Crane, Alison Babeu, David Bammam, Lisa Cerrato, and Rashmi Singhal
- Social Attention in the Age of the Web, by Bernardo A. Huberman
- Art History and the New Media; Representation and the Production of Humanistic Knowledge, by Stephen Murray
- A Whirlwind Tour of Automated Language Processing for the Humanities and Social Sciences, by Douglas W. Oard
- Information Visualization: Challenge for the Humanities, by Maureen Stone

These papers, three by humanists and three by computer scientists, represent a cross-section of the domains and capabilities potentially relevant to computationally intensive scholarship in the humanities. Humanities research was represented by classics/philology, history of art and architecture, and Latin American studies. Computer science research was represented by language and speech, visualization, and social networking. Papers were intended to provide overviews of the key issues in the field, opportunities for collaboration, and issues for future research.

After the workshop, it was decided that a seventh essay, a review of digital humanities centers, would be useful. Diane Zorich was invited to prepare this essay based on a prior study that she had conducted for the Scholarly Communication Institute (July 2008), thus effectively leveraging prior work. The Web site was also edited for release to the public. In addition to editorial revisions, the forum, which had been set up to facilitate discussion within the participants, was disabled.

### 2.3 Participants

A total of 30 people accepted the invitation to participate in the conference as a member of the steering committee, writer, or participant; 25 actually attended. A list of invitees and their biographical information is included in Appendix 2 of this report. Invitees were selected to represent the range of humanistic inquiry and computer science research together with
representatives of the library community, which is entrusted with the management of the source material on which such scholarship depends. Thirteen are women, and seventeen are men. Every effort was made to include broad representation of demographic groups, and the resulting profile is reflective of the membership of the constituent disciplines and domains. The domains represented in the group included archaeology, history of art and architecture, classics, philology, Latin American studies, medieval studies, Romance languages and literature, Tibetan/Himalayan cultures, visual arts, philosophy, geography and geographic information systems, political science, artificial intelligence, speech and language recognition, human-computer interaction, library and information science, Korean and Old Norse languages and cultures, and visualization and visual literacy.

All participants were given a list of attendees that included full contact information for the others to facilitate ongoing communication. Telephone numbers and email addresses have not been included in the material posted to the Web site or in the appendix of this document to protect the privacy of the participants.

2.4 Agenda

The agenda is included in Appendix 1 of this report. The morning’s activities were structured around discussion of the white papers. This was a mechanism for framing an otherwise broad discussion of issues, methods, and concerns in the humanities and the opportunities afforded by technology and the collaboration with researchers in advanced technology. The afternoon was organized around articulating specific questions and challenges.

3.0 Description of the Symposium and Outcomes

The content of the symposium is expressed in three sources: the white papers, lengthy and thoughtful posts to the online forum in advance of the meeting, and the rich discussion during the day. Collectively, the outcomes fall into two broad categories: general discussion of issues in digital humanities and articulation of research challenges and opportunities.

3.1 Issues in Digital Humanities Research

Humanists address a very broad range of topics and use diverse methods and sources. Thus, isolating issues that transcend disciplinary boundaries represents a challenge. Based on the discussions, trans-disciplinary issues in digital humanities research can be grouped into five major topics: data and collections, services and tools, research and analysis, teaching and communications, and credentialing and cultures.

3.1.1 Data and Collections

The first and essential consideration is management of collections for use by multiple audiences. Collection development entails capturing, archiving, and preserving digital materials. This includes converted materials, which may be both legacy and the product of ongoing format conversion projects, as well as so-called “born digital” materials—information that has been created in digital form, such as Web sites, instrumented data from sensors, satellites, and similar recordation and measurement devices, databases of observations and transactions, and so on.
On the one hand, scholars are facing what one participant called a “tsunami” of digital information, which is both voluminous and heterogeneous in format, genre, language, and sources. Such data is harder to work with in the sense that it may not be easily authenticated or sourced, requiring future scholars to develop ways of obtaining “the best” data and challenging scholars to learn to develop ways to obtain the appropriate quality. But notions of data scarcity or abundance are actually context dependent. The same participant who cited the data tsunami also acknowledged that there is a relative paucity of “suitably tagged” data for machine learning algorithms. Nor are there many humanities disciplines adequately equipped with test collections for machine translation, summarization, and other natural language processing technologies, all prerequisite to certain kinds of computational research using these materials. Her point was echoed by other domain specialists who noted the relative scarcity of suitably marked up digital texts in medieval literature, for example, compared with the number of existing analog texts of potential interest to scholars. Many such texts have not even been cataloged and are hence undiscoverable through standard search techniques.

This tension between richly marked-up text and semi-processed data has been recognized primarily in the wake of the mass digitization projects now underway. In this meeting, emphasis was given, however, to the heterogeneity of the digital tsunami. In addition to the frequently mentioned sources of such heterogeneity from language (including non-Roman scripts), format (text, image), and display (e.g., print versus handwritten, archaic fonts), one of the participants pointed out that much of the modern content that will be of interest to the future is spoken and therefore presents layers of complexity beyond those inherent in information that is born, so to speak, as text, even if that text exists in many languages.

As the white paper by Levander argues, digital library technologies and digital library-based approaches enable organization of collections at a new scale that invites reconceptualizing research questions. In her case, the organization of the Our Americas Archives Project (OAAP) on a hemispheric scale involves three geographically separate institutions and enables a reconceptualization that is independent of modern geopolitical boundaries and that embraces underlying heterogeneity in languages, organization, culture, and format. Consequently, she argues, the structure of the collections helps scholars “to pry [their research] loose” from the self-limiting assumptions of the nation state. Among the responses to her paper were those who argued that it might be prudent to recast the boundaries differently, perhaps, for example, to see the Atlantic world as distinct from the Pacific world rather than defining the scope as the continental landmasses. Nevertheless, no one quarreled with her fundamental insight: that the organization of collections is inherent in the way that research is framed; that such organization of knowledge bounds the way that research is then undertaken, and that challenges to conceptual boundaries begin with the organization of source material.

### 3.1.2 Services and Tools

The digital tsunami itself affords opportunities to recast research but necessitates new strategies for managing the data, extracting relevant information, and understanding patterns within it. Huberman and his team have been able to show that information embodies social networks and have devised algorithms for identifying those relationships. Thus, one of the implications of that body of work is to focus attention on the Web technology and the web of digital information itself as an object of study. The white paper by Crane et al. outlines a set of challenges that arise because the data potentially available to researchers in the humanities is (1) vaster in size than that previously accessible to researchers and (2) vastly more heterogeneous. They argue for advances at the infrastructure level that would support two broad fronts: “On the one hand, we are extending the intellectual range of individual scholars, enabling them to pursue topics that
require analysis of more primary sources or more linguistic materials than was feasible with print.
. . At the same time, we want to increase the complementary effect and further extend the
audiences that the products of particular cultures can reach” (Crane et al.: 2). It is telling that
Crane and his coauthors articulate goals that are levels of abstraction below specific tools, a point
of view that was implicitly and explicitly shared by the entire conference, albeit from different
points of view, probably because a focus on tools is ultimately short-sighted and may not lead to
creative or deep collaborations across domain/computer science boundaries.

One of the computer scientists suggested that humanities scholars need to “get to the next level of
problem definition, perhaps talking about the tasks they need solved (such as finding something
particular in text) rather than the system they need built.” This comment resonated with a
recommendation from another computer scientist, a specialist in human-computer interfaces and
design, who advised humanists to be able to answer the question, “What is it you are trying to
do?” and to explain the kinds of evidence that would be necessary to adduce to answer a given
question rather than focusing on the available technologies or the technologies they believe are
available. Finally, a professor of romance languages asked rhetorically, “Are we letting our
anxieties about tools and protocols, and methodologies obscure bigger questions?” observing that
methods, protocols, and disciplines gradually evolve only after the need for a function or
capability has been perceived.

For all that there was generally agreement on the need to look beyond the capabilities of known
tools, there were also specific and concrete recommendations about ways to proceed within the
framework of existing tools. First, existing tools should be identified, evaluated for their broad
utility to a scholarly community, and maintained; these are among the goals of Project Bamboo
(http://projectbamboo.org/). Tools and services should be integrated from the perspective of the
user’s experience to avoid creating “multiple fragmented environments.” Second, ontologies are a
useful device for organizing the conceptual structure of a field or discipline, and there is
substantial work in progress of potential interest to humanists. This continues to be a vibrant
research topic among computer scientists and represents one of the boundary areas where domain
scholars might interact fruitfully with computer scientists. Third, tools do exist among advanced
researchers that might be interesting to humanities scholars, but “we have a long way to go to
make interacting with any of these tools anything other than abhorrent,” the HCI specialist said.
Yet another computer scientist with a long track record in collaborations across many disciplines
essentially concurred with this sentiment in his comment to the forum where he wrote about the
divergence between the career path of a computer scientist and the needs of the domain scholar:
“Often the work will include a prototype that is stable enough for performance measurements or
usability testing. Very rarely will this prototype include all the details that would be required for
practical use.”

3.1.3 Research and Analysis

The work by Huberman and his team offers one set of tools for understanding the Web or subsets
of information that is internally referenced, like e-mail. This work points to an approach in which
a set of computational methods is applied to a computational phenomenon; it is, in sense, wholly
contained within the digital world. It is important, a geographer reminded the group, to stop
thinking “of the computer as a black box.” This fairly innocent and ordinary image, in fact,
captures and exposes several fault lines in digital scholarship. One set of fault lines reflects those
who are at home with the technology and those who use the tools built by others; we will come
back to this point in the next two sections. A second set, which is the subject of this section,
concerns those who are comfortable—or at least recognize—the limitations with the technology
and a style of iterative, computational analysis as distinct from those who implicitly assume a
model in which the data are submitted for processing and an answer is retrieved. Certainly the latter model was common in the days of mainframes when computational cycles were scarce and expensive. Personal computing upends that model of computational inquiry, and computational analysis becomes exploratory and iterative. Moreover, computers, one participant said, “aren’t quite as precise at parsing as many people might think.” More generally, Oard argues in his white paper, “humanities scholars are going to need to learn a bit of probability theory.” This is a different model of reasoning, where the arguments concern statistical likelihood, degrees of confidence, and the presence of error, terms that have precise and well-defined mathematical definitions.

Much of the discussion revolved around uses of computing that is exploratory, useful for detecting anomalies and patterns, and frankly accepting of a degree of uncertainty. Among such technologies are clustering (as a means of mathematically representing text or aspects of text), visualization (which is a means of communication as well as analysis), and social networking (the subject of the paper by Huberman). The scholar of old Norse suggested, for example, that the social networking approach laid out by Huberman could be used to “map the social network in [Icelandic] sagas over time and then perhaps integrate with GIS and use this to try and draw actual historic and geographic interpretations.”

As a scholar of the ancient Persia pointed out, though, this form of close analysis of the material does have repercussions in terms of the traditional disciplines. “Cuneiformists” are viewed as data driven and at his home institute, this label can be considered pejorative. In cross-disciplinary meetings like this one, he hastened to add, “people view such a label with esteem.” His cautionary observation and its implications for the organization of knowledge and the ways that the existing structure of disciplines infiltrates perception of significance resonates with the point that Levander made concerning the organization of archives and the ability of reconceptualizing their organization as a way to challenge inherited boundaries between disciplines. Nevertheless, the comment points to the importance of context and perception in the definition of research topics and the reality, shared by the group, that such changes in perception and culture will occur over future generations of students.

Iterative use of computation as a mechanism for detecting anomalies and patterns was one thread in this discussion. A second concerned certainty and trust. Some in the group were quite conservative, arguing that verification and trust were very important and that maintaining and developing methods for sustaining trust in the data and the systems should be paramount. Others, however, were more comfortable with models of probabilistic reasoning, arguing that the approach allowed for creativity and scaled to vast amounts of information even though it also permits a degree of uncertainty. And indeed, since much humanities scholarship rests on interpretation of ambiguous source material, scholars in the humanities are actually fairly comfortable with ambiguity if not with the specific mathematics of probability. Humanists, one art historian quipped, “are programmed to spin out ideas of a starry-eyed dream world if only they were given enough money to do the research and produce the tools.”

3.1.4 Teaching and Communication

The essays by Murray and Stone point to the importance of computation as a means of communication, particularly communication as educators although several participants pointed out that future generations of students will communicate using graphics, rather than text. An architectural historian of French gothic cathedrals, Murray uses a mix of capture and display technologies to re-create the three-dimensional spaces so that his students can also re-experience the soaring interiors at an otherwise inaccessible level of detail and to demonstrate relationships
among resources that are geographically separate. He argues that pedagogical technique removes
the cathedral from its status as a fully formed and static object represented by a slide in a
darkened lecture hall and allows students to understand these were works in progress over a
period of decades, embodying countless choices and decisions. In a brief and vibrant
demonstration, he used simulation techniques based on the underlying engineering principles to
show the evolution of rounded Romanesque arches to slender Gothic pointed archives as an
aesthetic response to engineering constraints.

As the world of the Web becomes increasingly graphics intensive, visual literacy becomes
extremely important. This, Stone argues, has two dimensions: one is the ability to communicate
effectively using shape, color, and other visual tools; the second is the ability to recognize
miscommunication and bias, which may stem from several sources. The point is to teach students
to look for bias rather than to accept the display. Indeed, the emphasis that she places on visual
literacy goes to a theme that many participants echoed—the importance of understanding what
the systems and tools could and could not do and hence the probability that true practitioners
would be found in the next generation of scholars, what one writer called, “interdisciplinary
natives.” This sentiment was voiced in different ways. Some participants simply acknowledged
that the beneficiaries of these discussions lie in the future. Others were more specific about ways
in which reasoning and communication would be different, notably the use of visualization and
probability and comfort with technology more generally, as well as with interdisciplinarity and
alternative ways of organizing knowledge.

3.1.5 Credentialing and Cultures

Threaded through the discussions was an acknowledgement of the role of academic cultures, the
boundaries and expectations that exist within those cultures, and the career trajectories that the
current culture imposes on both students and faculty. Differing views over the value of data-
driven research have already been cited. Appropriate credit in collaborative efforts is another
constraint, particularly in the humanities where the single-authored work is the norm. The
potential expansion in authorship is a third consideration. Although it has perhaps not been fully
realized, the technology offers the possibility of enlarging the pool of those who can “become
involved with the production of knowledge” and building a cyberinfrastructure to support such
democratization, in the view of one participant, should be a goal. Closer to home, undergraduate
students are already participating in major research projects by contributing to projects and by
publishing results. However, not all institutions and their faculties are equally provisioned and
expanding the infrastructure domestically and internationally remains a concern.

3.2 Research Challenges and Opportunities

There was some discussion of the notion of a new environment, with some questioning how new
or innovative much of the research is and others questioning the meaning of the term
“environment.” CLIR had intentionally used the word without defining it, in part to see the
response and in part to avoid connotations associated with “cyberinfrastructure,” which supports
the environment, and in part, to avoid the more limiting notion of “tools.” In fact, the computer
scientists in the conference consistently urged participants not to think in terms of tools but rather
in terms of what they wanted to do. The latter might be thought of as functions that a research
infrastructure should support rather than the specific tools that accomplished a set of tasks. That
said, the environment, or medium, consists of digital data; the network; local or remote access to
facilities and computational resources that allow users to capture, discover, and manipulate data;
and the ability to communicate and publish findings in digital form together with the standards,
services, protocols, codes of conduct, best practices, and so on that are necessary to enable the systems to function smoothly.

Not all resources will be equally accessible, just as not everyone can use advanced instrumentation on Mauna Kea or at CERN. But cyberinfrastructure, broadly conceived, contains those capabilities. We used the term “environment”, however, to underline the point that the shared suite of facilities and services that constitute the shared cyberinfrastructure may support a series of environments within which individuals may work. And those communities of like minded scholars may have specific requirements, as well as culture, that are built on top of the infrastructure. Our focus was the environment to support humanities scholarship, ways computation does and might affect that scholarship, collaborations that might result, and strategies to influence the future of such research.

In the afternoon session, participants were asked to identify three major challenges or opportunities and three major issues or barriers to achieving those challenges. The responses were rich and fall into three broad categories: topics that might generate research, that is, the substance or content of humanities research; the infrastructure and social context of work, which includes both the academic values that define importance as well as their accustomed modes of conducting research; and, finally, some concrete suggestions for activities and programs.

3.2.1 Research Topics

Research can be grouped into four broad topics: scale, language, space and time, and social networking. The boundaries among these bleed into one another, but they individually represent significant clusters of research and obtain a sufficient level of abstraction that enables researchers of many stripes to situate their research and potentially to discover future partners.

3.2.1.1 Scale and the poverty of abundance

The overwhelming characteristic of contemporary scholarship is digital information of varying quality in quantities that were unimaginable in prior generations. This has strained notions of carefully managed collections with rich mark-up and organized around well defined topics and individuals, but also offers the tantalizing promise of a new style of research and new questions appropriate to this new environment of information abundance. The paradox, of course, is that the abundant information is rife with problems and seems inaccessible given current tools. Moreover, the questions that humanists traditionally ask do not always seem to tap this potential of intellectual riches.

Although participants did not propose questions analogous to the grand challenge questions that characterize science, they did collectively emphasize the importance of finding ways to identify pattern and anomalies. This subsumes text analysis (for example, clustering); representation (“more than just a bag of words,” according to one participant), and modeling; and visualization. Also related to scale are issues of information discovery and retrieval as well as data management, archiving, preservation, and sustainability. “We need more sophisticated search and discovery tools, particularly when searching across interdisciplinary collections; users need tools to find resources they did not know existed yet turn out to be very relevant,” one participant said.
3.2.1.2 Language

Because language is fundamental to humanities research, CLIR ensured that a number of linguists and scholars of literature were present. In addition, language is a major source of heterogeneity, particularly where the notion of language encompasses spoken as well as written languages. While linguistic heterogeneity is an attribute of scale, we have separated out language as a distinct topic, in part because issues of scale are not necessarily always linguistic issues and in part because there are rich intellectual traditions in both computer science and language studies that can be brought to bear. Indeed, Oard’s white paper was invited precisely to provide a context for understanding some of the research that takes places among computer scientists. That said, there was clearly unspoken consensus among participants on the importance of language, and linguistic and cross-language studies, but few specific recommendations.

3.2.1.3 Space and time

Like language, notions of space and time inhere in humanities. A geographer observed that while dealing with space is difficult, dealing with space and time is “far tougher.” This leads to challenges in dealing with items that need to be analyzed both geographically (that is, spatially) and temporally. Although she was speaking from a computational perspective, the central place of exactly such questions for many humanities disciplines suggests a fruitful area of future research and collaboration.

A second aspect of space concerns the reconstruction of space and its representation in digital form. Murray’s paper and demo showed both the analytical and pedagogical power and implications of the technology for studying and teaching art and architecture. Reconstructions of archaeological sites and simulations of past conditions are also a rich area of research as evidenced by the Persepolis project. Such projects are data and computationally intensive and typically require relatively large and interdisciplinary research teams (geography, climate, architecture and engineering, social history, archaeology, and so on) that challenge many conventional models of the research process, a point that will be discussed further in a later section. From the perspective of the research process, there does exist an important question of the value of visualization and simulation as an analytical strategy as distinct from a communication or pedagogical strategy and how the results may be captured, documented, reported, archived, preserved, and ultimately replicated or reused in future studies.

3.2.1.4 Social networking

In the white paper contributed to this project, Huberman describes work undertaken at his lab in the area of social networking, arguing that the web of information represents a network of social relationships as well as a technological network. The information can be read backwards, then, to expose relationships that might not be otherwise evident and to illustrate how the specific technologies affect the allocation of human attention. Based on his work first with e-mail and then with material provided by Amazon.com, Huberman and his team developed a series of algorithms that teased out the relationships and then a set of equations to capture the effects. They showed a winner-take-all effect on the diffusion of ideas such that a handful of ideas within a community may attract the lion’s share of the attention, given the referral behavior among readers, and then that information decays fairly slowly. Similar phenomena have been identified in citation practices in scholarly journals, and the significance of this work is in its scale, rigor, and level of abstraction. That is to say, the algorithms can be applied in any body of work where the links can be established and, unlike citation counts, it is not necessarily confined to scholarly literature. Rather, the findings go more generally to how attention is allocated and to the ways...
that the interactions between social and technological networks mediate allocation of human attention. As a result, the Web ceases to be a neutral technology but instead affects the outcomes by amplifying and instantiating certain behaviors. Huberman’s paper excited substantial comment both for its rigor and its ability to evoke new ways of analyzing material. It was seen both as evidence of the way that the Web becomes an object of study as well as a set of analytics that could be used to characterize text corpora; Icelandic sagas was the example given.

3.2.2 Context of Research

The context in which scholars work is shaped by their academic and disciplinary cultures as well as by their resources and facilities. Several participants mentioned the academic culture and its system of prestige and reward, which prescribes a fairly rigid path to tenure and promotion. Posts to the forum also pointed to inconsistencies between the career path of a graduate student in computer science who might work on an interdisciplinary project and the needs of the domain scholar for a more finished product. A medievalist responded, “Typical trajectory of a humanities computing project: It receives start-up funding from, let us say, NEH. The funding eventually goes away, leaving the project incomplete, usually with software that is neither robust to start with nor with the resources necessary to maintain it over time.” Moreover, he continued, “Most humanists don't know what computing capabilities are, so when they talk about what they want, they tend to project their current scholarly practices as a straight line, seeking to replicate what they know.” This is not unusual. For example, scholars often start with duplications of what they know how to do and then gradually move from putting up static PDFs to creating hyperlinked documents.

In broad terms, several participants called for initiatives that might use technology to broaden scholars’ outlook and perspectives, to democratize access and participation, and to expand the current model of publication. Several spoke to the importance of breaking down barriers and of creating “lively models to convince people that computational tools will help them.” Concrete suggestions of ways to accomplish that were case studies and experiments in which such results were showcased, workshops that engaged students, and building collaborative teams. Two specific clusters of challenges stand out: sustainability and collaboration.

3.2.2.1. Sustainability

Sustainability embraces archiving, preservation, and reuse of collections and tools. As such, it is tied to the way that projects are conceived, managed, and eventually shut down when they are concluded. As several participants pointed out, there is substantial work to be done simply identifying what resources exist; whether a permanent repository should be found for them, and if so, then the means to preserve the material; and in the case of tools, resources to sustain the continued development of that tool. The previously cited Project Bamboo is one example of a major initiative to identify tools. Other barriers to sustainability (and to collaboration) include the following:

- Scholars may be reluctant to release their work.
- Preservation of context can be very important as well as very difficult.
- Finding and re-using existing literature corpora is also difficult, requiring substantial work to normalize the data before even text mining can be done.
- There is little consensus among scholars and hence little motivation to sustain materials.

It is hard to overstate the importance of managing data. As one participant asked rhetorically, “What is evidence in the digital world?”
3.2.2.2 Collaborations

Given the purpose of the meeting, the nature and structure of collaborations excited substantial attention. Participants acknowledged both the difficulties in creating incentives for collaborations but also proposed a number of creative responses. For example, one metric that a computer scientist who has undertaken many collaborative projects uses for defining a joint project is the ability of the project to support publication in peer reviewed journals by both the technology and domain experts. Nevertheless, he also acknowledged significant problems with authoring systems designed for humanities scholars, a serious issue when credit and attribution loom large in current systems of promotion and tenure and in particular in the single investigator model that characterizes traditional humanities research. Specific actions and projects included the following:

- Improve connectivity between the larger and smaller institutions and among a variety of potential user groups, including possible citizen scholars who might actively contribute to projects.
- Learn the technology and pose problems, in Oard’s terms, that are at the boundaries between disciplines.
- Organize workshops, summer schools, and paper sessions that highlight promising, collaborative research.
- Develop systems that collaboratively track contributions to joint projects.
- Turn learning to use tools into a collaborative opportunity.
- Use computers to simulate a counter-factual scenario, which, as the participants discovered by watching Murray’s presentation on Romanesque and Gothic arches, can be a transformative experience.
- Explore models for collaboration with students and encourage graduate students to experiment with collaboration, project-based learning, and critical synthesis rather than deep originality.

Model this behavior for them.

3.2.3 Specific Projects

Three specific projects either captured ideas that resonated with others or were explicitly endorsed by others. They are described in the following sections.

3.2.3.1 Test collections

Test collections were proposed in several contexts, affording researchers the opportunity to learn and to experiment. Crane described the most ambitious version of this idea when he laid out the model of putting existing large corpora in the public domain up on a large, powerful computer system, such as a teragrid, where researchers could experiment with clustering, text mining, mapping, and so on. On the basis of that experience, he argues, the innovative questions that several people called for might emerge. At the same time, the shared resource becomes central to the structure of a discipline or set of disciplines whose research depends on it. As one participant asked, “What is the Protein Data Bank for the humanities?”
3.2.3.2 Ontologies

Ontologies were also proposed. Although some work has been done, no large teams have formed and there is substantial interdisciplinary potential in such collaborations between domain specialists and computer scientists. Ontologies can be used to capture the formalization of basic concepts and can then inform more sophisticated tools and systems.

3.2.3.3 Hard questions about tools

Finally, many participants referred to tools in many contexts. Hard questions must be asked of these tools: Are they working and if so, why? If they are not working, why not? What is frustrating for users? And where is automation appropriate? These are obviously rhetorical questions, but the tenor of this aspect of the day was a recognition that tool development should be generalized and subjected to systematic evaluation that takes into account all aspects of rigorous software development contextualized in an understanding of the user communities. As a general proposition, humanists were advised to avoid general purpose tools but to see tool development as an iterative and learning process.

4.0 Evaluation and Next Steps

No formal evaluation was included as part of this program. Anecdotal responses from participants indicate that the day was successful, an impression that is strengthened by the speed with which invitees accepted, their participation in the online forum that was maintained in preparation for the conference, and the depth to which participants had prepared for the seminar. At least collaboration has been formed between two participants, and a third participant plans a publication based on his contribution to the online form and the interest it engendered. It was obvious from the discussion that the papers had been read in detail, that participants came prepared with their own comments and contributions, and that there was interest in developing future collaborations.

No concrete next steps were formulated beyond a commitment to preparing both the final report for the NEH and the anthology for public distribution. In addition, Kathlin Smith, CLIR’s Director of Communications, has prepared a news item for CLIR Issues, the organization’s bi-monthly newsletter, reporting on the seminar and building interest in the forthcoming anthology.
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for the Envision Project. Her research interests include long-term data preservation/archiving, user interaction with information in the context of massive data, usability engineering for information exploitation systems and digital electronic libraries, cognitive issues in user interface design, information visualization, intelligent user modeling and intelligent user interfaces, and information storage and retrieval.

As Program Director for Data, Data Analysis and Visualization in NSF's Office of Cyberinfrastructure, her program responsibilities include:
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Douglas W. Oard is Associate Dean for Research at the University of Maryland's College of Information Studies. He holds joint appointments as an associate professor in the College of Information Studies and the Institute for Advanced Computing Studies. Dr. Oard earned his Ph.D. in 1996 in Electrical Engineering from the University of Maryland, College Park, a Master of Electrical Engineering degree from Rice University in 1979, and a B.A. in Electrical Engineering and Mathematical Sciences, also in 1979. His research is focused on the design and evaluation of interactive systems to support search and sense-making in large collections of character-coded, scanned, and spoken language. He is best known for his work on cross-language information retrieval, but his current interests also include support for e-discovery in litigation (as a coordinator for the TREC Legal Track) and investigating application of computational linguistics for social science research (as a Co-PI for the NSF-funded PopIT Human Social Dynamics project).

**Andreas Paepcke**  
*Senior Research Scientist  
Stanford University*

Andreas Paepcke is a Senior Research Scientist and Director of the Digital Library Project at Stanford University. Dr. Paepcke has served on numerous program committees, including as Program Chair for the Joint Conference on Digital Libraries 2008, and Vice Program Chair of the World-Wide Web conference's 'Browsers and User Interfaces' program track. He served on several National Science Foundation proposal evaluation panels and is associate editor of ACM Transactions on the Web. Dr. Paepcke received BS and MS degrees in applied mathematics from Harvard University, and a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the University of Karlsruhe, Germany. Previously, he worked as a researcher at Hewlett-Packard Laboratory, and as a research consultant at Xerox PARC. He serves on the technical advisory board of Center'd.com.

Dr. Paepcke's interests include user interfaces for small devices, novel Web search facilities, and browsing facilities for digital artifacts that are difficult to index. With his group of students he has designed and implemented WebBase, an experimental storage system for Web contents. He is currently working on a Web Sociologists Workbench. The result of this work will be tools that
allow social scientists and historians to analyze large time-series Web snapshot archives without knowledge of computing intricacies.

**Donna Peuquet**  
*Professor of Geography*  
*Pennsylvania State University*

Donna Peuquet is Professor of Geography at The Pennsylvania State University and a faculty associate of the GeoVISTA Center and was acting Director of the GeoVISTA Center during the 2007-2008 academic year. Dr. Peuquet performs research on the theory of geographic knowledge representation, spatio-temporal data representation, spatial cognition, geocomputation, geographic database design, and the use of GIScience in epidemiological research. Her book, entitiled Representations of Space and Time, develops an integrated perspective on philosophical, cognitive, database and visualization issues on spatial and space-time representation. She was lead PI (with Alan MacEachren as co-PI) on a recently completed project to develop an integrated database and visualization environment for space-time information exploitation, called STNexus. Recent research includes increasing emphasis on representation of complex geographic processes.

**Joyce Ray**  
*Associate Deputy Director for Library Services*  
*Institute of Museum and Library Services*

**Mark Schiefsky**  
*Harvard University*  
*Department of the Classics*

Mark Schiefsky took his Ph.D. degree in Classical Philosophy from Harvard University in 1999 and has been an Assistant Professor in the Department of the Classics since January 2000. His research interests are centered on the interaction of ancient philosophy and science, especially medicine and mechanics. His publications include a commentary on the Hippocratic treatise On Ancient Medicine (Brill 2005), along with several articles on ancient medicine and mechanics; he is currently working on a book that will explore the connections between ancient mechanics, mechanical technology, physics, and mathematics. Professor Schiefsky is also collaborating closely with scholars at the Max Planck Institute for the History of Science in Berlin, Germany on the Archimedes Project, an international initiative funded by the National Science Foundation to create a digital library for the history of mechanics and mechanical technology (http://archimedes.fas.harvard.edu). He has taught courses on Plato and Lucretius in the original languages, as well as Ancient Greek Medicine, Introduction to Ancient Philosophy, and Ancient Cosmology and Mechanics in translation.

**Kathlin Smith**  
*Director of Communications*  
*Council on Library and Information Resources*

Kathlin Smith is director of communications at the Council on Library and Information Resources (CLIR) in Washington, D.C., where she oversees CLIR's publications program and sponsor communications. Before joining CLIR in 1997, she worked for nine years at the Committee on Scholarly Communication with China, sponsored by the National Academy of Sciences, American Council of Learned Societies, and Social Science Research Council. She also served as a consultant to the World Bank on projects in China. She holds a B.A. in International
Relations from the Pennsylvania State University, and an M.A. in International Development from American University.

Matthew W. Stolper  
*Oriental Institute*  

Matthew W. Stolper (Professor of Assyriology, Oriental Institute & Department of Near Eastern Languages and Civilizations, University of Chicago) is the director of the Persepolis Fortification Archive Project (described at [http://oi.uchicago.edu/research/projects/pfa/](http://oi.uchicago.edu/research/projects/pfa/); related postings at [http://persepolistablets.blogspot.com/](http://persepolistablets.blogspot.com/)). The Persepolis Fortification Archive consists of tens of thousands of clay tablets and fragments, remains of an administrative archive compiled around 500 BC and rediscovered in 1933 by archaeological excavations at Persepolis, the palace complex in southwestern Iran built by the Achaemenid Persian king Darius I and destroyed by Alexander the Great. Thousands of tablets have texts in Elamite language; hundreds have texts in Aramaic language; a few have texts in other languages (Greek, Old Persian, Phrygian, Babylonian); almost all have impressions of one or more seals. Continuing access to this vast, unique source of information on Achaemenid Persian languages, art, society, and history is in grave peril from litigation. The Persepolis Fortification Archive Project at the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago brings together an inter-institutional and international team of editors and collaborating projects in an emergency effort to record, catalogue and analyze as much of the Archive as possible, and to distribute the results through at least four co-operating on-line sites: the On-Line Cultural Heritage Environment (OCHRE) at the University of Chicago ([http://ochre.lib.uchicago.edu/](http://ochre.lib.uchicago.edu/)); InscriptiFact, the Web site of the West Semitic Research Project at USC ([http://www.inscriptifact.com/](http://www.inscriptifact.com/)); the Cuneiform Digital Library Initiative (CDLI) at UCLA ([http://cdli.ucla.edu/](http://cdli.ucla.edu/)); and the paired sites of achemenet.com and the Musée Achéménide Virtuel et Interactif (MAVI) at the Collège de France ([http://www.achemenet.com/](http://www.achemenet.com/) and [http://www.museum-achemenet.college-de-france.fr/](http://www.museum-achemenet.college-de-france.fr/)). Like many project directors, Stolper is a well-meaning user of modest ability and incomplete knowledge of his resources.

Maureen Stone  
*StoneSoup Consulting*  

Maureen Stone has been working in digital color, graphics, perception and the tools for information display for almost 30 years. At Xerox PARC in the 1980's, she participated in the desktop publishing revolution, creating tools for illustration, typography, and color selection. She and her colleagues created some of the first color management systems for digital prepress, uniquely focused on purely digital imagery (as opposed to scanned photographs). At the end of her tenure at PARC, she was a member a small group exploring the relationship between technology and design called RED (Research in Experimental Design), where she worked on digital sound, 3D Web graphics, and a walk-throughcomic strip. Since founding StoneSoup Consulting in 1999, she has worked on a wide range of research and development activities, from building multi-projector display walls at Stanford to designing color palettes for Tableau Software to teaching Information Visualization in the University of Washington iSchool. She is an adjunct professor at Simon Fraser University's School for Interactive Arts and Technology, and editor in chief of IEEE Computer Graphics & Applications. Her book, *A Field Guide to Digital Color*, was published by A.K. Peters in 2003. She received a BS and MS degrees in Computer Engineering from the University of Illinois, and a MS in Computer Science from Caltech. She is a member of ACM, IEEE and IS&T.  

http://www.stonesc.com
Timothy Tangherlini
Professor / Chair, The Scandinavian Section, UCLA
Professor, Asian Languages and Cultures Dept., UCLA

Timothy Tangherlini is Professor of Scandinavian and Korean folklore at the University of California, Los Angeles. His current work focuses on applying machine learning techniques (supervised and unsupervised learning) to Danish folklore and Old Icelandic literature corpuses. He is also leading an effort at UCLA to develop an automated morphological analyzer for Old Icelandic (http://dev.cdh.ucla.edu/~newmedia/ICEmorph/). Other work related to digital humanities scholarship includes a growing archive of Korean and Korean American folklore based on student collections (http://projects.cdh.ucla.edu/koreanfolklore), as well as a born-digital project that presents storyteller repertoires from the collections of the nineteenth century Danish folklorist, Evald Tang Kristensen (http://dev.cdh.ucla.edu/~newmedia/DFL2). Along with the UCLA digital library, he is currently in the process of making all 79 volumes of Tang Kristensen's folklore collections, along with a deeply tagged edition of his memoirs, freely available in digital form. He is also a consultant on a project within the Electronic Cultural Atlas Initiative at UC Berkeley, and Co-PI on Mapping Nordic Literary Culture sponsored by the Nordic Council of Ministers.

Donald J. Waters
Program Officer, Scholarly Communications
The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation

Donald J. Waters is the Program Officer for Scholarly Communications at The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation. Before joining the Foundation in 1999, he served as the first Director of the Digital Library Federation (1997-1999), as Associate University Librarian at Yale University (1993-1997), and in a variety of other positions at the Computer Center, the School of Management, and the University Library at Yale. Waters graduated with a Bachelor's degree in American Studies from the University of Maryland, College Park in 1973. In 1982, he received his Ph.D. in Anthropology from Yale University. Waters conducted his dissertation research on the political economy of artisanry in Guyana, South America. He has edited a collection of African-American folklore from the Hampton Institute in a volume entitled Strange Ways and Sweet Dreams. In 1995-96, he co-chaired the Task Force of the Commission on Preservation and Access and the Research Libraries Group on Archiving of Digital Information, and was the editor and a principal author of the Task Force Report. He was a member of the Section 108 Study Group. He is a fellow of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, and serves on the Steering Committee of the Coalition for Networked Information, the National Digital Strategy Advisory Board of the Library of Congress. He is also the author of numerous articles and presentations on libraries, digital libraries, digital preservation, and scholarly communications.

Joel Wurl
Senior Program Officer
Division of Preservation and Access
National Endowment for the Humanities

Joel Wurl is a Sr. Program Officer in the Division of Preservation & Access, National Endowment for the Humanities, where he also serves on the inter-divisional working group for the Office of Digital Humanities. He is also an Adjunct Instructor in the Applied History program at George Mason University. Prior to joining NEH in October, 2006, he worked for 20 years with
University of Minnesota's Immigration History Research Center ending there as Head of Research Collections and Associate Director. From 2002 to 2005, he served on the council and executive committee of the Society of American Archivists and as editor of the Midwest Archives Conference journal Archival Issues. He co-chaired the program committee for the 2008 SAA annual meeting in San Francisco. Wurl's publications have appeared in both archival and immigration/ethnic history journals, and he is general editor for "North American Immigrant Letters, Diaries, and Oral Histories," an online publication of Alexander St. Press. Wurl was named a Distinguished Fellow of SAA in 2007.